

# IAFI Comments on In-Space Draft guidelines for implementation of the Indian Space Policy 2023

7<sup>th</sup> July 2023

### CONTENTS

Chapter	Торіс	Page No
Chapter 1	Introduction of ITU-APT Foundation of India	1
Chapter 2	IAFI Comments	2-5

# Chapter 1

### **Introduction ITU-APT Foundation of India (IAFI)**

We, the ITU-APT Foundation of India (IAFI), are a registered non-profit and non-political industry association registered under the Cooperative Societies Act of India. IAFI has been recognized by the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), the UN Organization for ICT issues, as an international/ regional Telecommunications organization and has been granted the sector Membership of the ITU Radio Communications Bureau (ITU-R), ITU Development Bureau (ITU-D) and ITU Telecommunication Standardization Bureau (ITU-T). IAFI is also an affiliate member of the APT. IAFI has been working for the last 20 years to encourage the involvement of professionals, corporate, public/private sector industries, R&D organizations, academic institutions, and other agencies in the activities of the ITU and APT. For more details regarding IAFI, please visit https://www.itu-apt.org/

# Chapter 2

IN-SPACe Guidelines	IAFI comments
Separate detailed guidelines shall	We request IN-SPACe to bring out a public
be brought out by IN-SPACe, time	consultation to get stakeholder views before
to time, as part of its internal	formulating any additional guidelines
Standard Operating Procedure	
(SOP) for scrutinizing the different	
types of authorization applications	
on above considerations.	
Any Indian Entity (NGE or	Comment: Article 56 and 58 of the UN Law of the
Government entity) carrying out	Sea
the space activities to or	https://www.un.org/depts/los/convention_agreements/
from Indian Territory or within the	texts/unclos/part5.htm define rights, jurisdiction and
jurisdiction of India including the	duties of States in the exclusive economic zone
area to the limit of	
its exclusive economic zone needs	Proposal: provide more explanation on how the
authorization from IN-SPACe.	jurisdiction on space activity extends beyond the
	national territory . Also see the treaty he Outer Space
	Treaty under which space is not subject to national
	appropriation by claim of sovereignty, by means of
	use or occupation, or by any other means;
Any registered Indian Entity can	Some additional scenarios could be considered.
seek authorization for	The Indian Entity could either be
establishment and/or operation of	1. Self-owned could be a 100% (direct or
self-owned/procured/leased space	indirect) subsidy of the satellite operator
object using Indian or non-Indian	2. An entity that procures or leases the space
orbital resources or its capacity for	object or capacity
communication services with	3. Can be an authorized representative of the
coverage over Indian territory or	satellite operator – Not necessarily is the lessee of cap
non-Indian territory or both.	
Authorization Process: Timeline	Comment: the processing time of 4.5 months seems
envisaged for processing the	too long, in particular to consider authorizing urgent
application is 4.5 months from the	cases as satellite in-orbit failure where a rapid
acceptance of the application,	migration has to be implemented.
assuming the timely response from	Note that there is a requirement to notify failures in
the different entities involved in	General Terms 17: the applicant shall notify IN-
the authorization process such as	SPACe in writing within seven working days of any
the applicant and other	circumstances that prevent the operation or operative
Departments/Ministries, as	control of the space object and of any change or
applicable.	termination of its space activity.
General Terms & Conditions of	Drenegal, accorden en executivos alessas formas (
Authorization: 3. Processing time	Proposal: consider an exceptions clause for urgent
for the authorization is envisaged	force majeure cases occurring to authorized
to be 4.5 months from the receipt	operations
of the application and complete	

information by IN-SPACe for	"acceptance of the application" should be changed to
some cases. It is, therefore,	"receipt of application" as specified at point 3, page 4
advised that the applications	of the guidelines. It should preferable be the same at
seeking IN-SPACe authorization	two places.
are submitted well before the	
planned space activity for which	
the authorization is being sought.	
c. Use of non-Indian satellite capa	city over India for space-based GSO and/or NGSO
	communications
satellite operator of the non-Indian	Comment: this seems to prevent the satellite operator
satellite(s) shall apply to IN-SPACe	of the non-Indian satellite(s) to apply directly to seek
seeking authorization of their	authorization for the space segment, which would
satellite(s) for use of its capacity	allow overall more flexibility.
over India through an NGE which	In any case, use of the non-Indian satellite capacity
could be their Indian subsidiary,	would still be only via authorized NGEs.
Joint Venture/Partnership with any	
Indian entity or their authorized	Proposal: consider the following change "The
dealer in India.	satellite operator of the non-Indian satellite(s) or an
	NGE which could be their Indian subsidiary, Joint
	Venture/Partnership with any Indian entity or their
	authorized dealer in India shall apply to IN-SPACe
	seeking authorization of their satellite(s) for use of its
	capacity over India"
	Perhaps "dealer should be changed to
	"representative" all places.
d) The authorization will be valid	
till the end of operational life of	Proposal: do not link the validity of the authorization
the satellite which would be	with satellite lifetime or include renewal clause.
declared by the applicant at the	
time of seeking authorization.	In the case of NGSOs, the lifespan of the satellites are
Fresh authorization shall be	5-7 years, and the authorization should not be limited
needed for the usage of capacity	to the end of operational life of a satellite. The
during extended operational life, if	validity of authorization should be at least 20 years.
any.	
e) The applicant shall provide	Comment: this may concern confidential data. It
details of arrangements made for	would be enough to get a letter of confirmation from
obtaining the rights for using non-	the non-Indian administration granting the right to
Indian orbital resource for the	use their orbital position.
operations of the space object for	
which the IN-SPACe authorization	Proposal: Simplify this clause.
is being sought along with the	
concurrence/approval of the	While applicants can provide the concerned approval
concerned non-Indian	of the non-Indian administration, it will be difficult to
administration.	obtain a concurrence from such administration on the
	grant of authorisation by IN-SPACe .
f) A detailed interference analysis	Comment: further clarification required.
shall be carried out by the	
applicant with a view to protect	Proposal: Provide more explanation of how to
	Proposal: Provide more explanation of how to comply with this provision. In some instances, coordination may still be ongoing. Some time, it takes

being considered as potential	years to finalize
candidates for the planned satellites by Indian Entities, in both GSO and NGSO, while submitting the application to IN- SPACe pertaining to the specific orbit-spectrum resource(s).	In the case of NGSOs it should be adherence to Article 22 EPFD limits in applicable bands and coordination with Indian ITU filings in bands that are not covered by Article 22. For Article 22, it could be a 'favourable finding' from the ITU and for coordination, it could be a letter submitted indicating coordination between NGSO operators or between NGSO and GSO operators. 'Potential Candidates' that is referred to in this point should be as per the ITU priority.
g) Applicants who are willing to bring in the operation of their satellites, which are using non- Indian orbital resource, under Indian ITU filing eventually with	Comment: the purpose of this text seems ambiguous, because InSpace will have to define "significant value". An assessment of significant value is likely to be abstract or difficult to quantify, potentially leading to transparency issues.
appropriate arrangement within the ambit of ITU regulations or who could provide significant	Proposal: applications should be encouraged instead.
value addition to Indian Space Sector (in terms of local	In the case of NGSO, this is not feasible.
manufacturing of user terminals, launches by Indian launch vehicle, manufacturing in India and operations under Indian ITU filing for the replacement satellites, etc.) shall be given the preference for authorization.	Companies that do manufacturing/exports from India of substantial value but not necessarily a space related manufacturing/export should also be given preference for authorization.
h) One time authorization per satellite, valid till the end of declared operational life of the satellite, shall be provided to the authorized entity. Hence, the	Comment: this is quite rigid given the potential need to change the satellite in use for operational or other reasons; in those cases, the authorization process should be simplified.
authorized entry. Hence, the authorization shall be applicable for the satellite and capacity which is being authorized and any change or replacement of the space object and/or need for additional capacity shall require a fresh authorization.	This should be applicable for a given filing and not a satellite in the case of NGSOs. In the case of NGSOs, the lifespan of the satellites are 5-7 years, and the authorization should not be limited to the end of operational life of a satellite. The validity of authorization should be at least 20 years.
i) Fresh authorization from IN- SPACe shall be required for authorizing the use of those non- Indian satellite capacity which is already being used in India either through lease agreement involving	Comment: not clear about consequences of the outcome of the request for the fresh authorization result in non-compliance with some new requirements, as the need to submit interference analysis.
NSIL/Antrix (DoS) or through direct lease from the non-Indian satellite operators. However, usage	Proposal (i): Consider the regularization of systems already in use on a case-by-case basis.

of such capacity shall be permitted till the end of the lease agreement/contract made through NSIL/Antrix (DoS) or the lease agreement signed directly with the non-Indian satellite operator or one year from the release of these guidelines, whichever is later. IN- SPACe, however, may extend this time limit for seeking its authorization for the exceptional cases, if necessary.	Proposal (ii): the existing approved non-Indian satellite capacity which is already being used through lease agreement or through direct lease should be smoothly transferred to IN-SPACe <u>without the</u> <u>requirement of seeking a new authorisation from</u> <u>IN-SPACe</u> . The existing approvals are based on coordination agreement with ISRO & approvals from the APEX committee.
	ndian satellite capacity over India for space-based
	/or NGSO communications
2- Whether the applicant is Indian subsidiary, having Joint Venture/Partnership with the satellite operator or their authorized dealer in India?, Please specify	Proposal: based on the comment further above (point b)) change to "Whether the applicant is the satellite operator, its Indian subsidiary, having Joint Venture/Partnership with the satellite operator or their authorized <del>dealer</del> representative in India?"
3- Whether the non-Indian satellite capacity is already being used in India either through lease agreement involving NSIL/Antrix (DoS) or through direct lease from the non-Indian satellite operators. If so, the details (such as copy of lease contract, validity, etc.) may be provided	Comment: copy of the lease contract may be confidential commercial data.
9- Satellite(s) details such as manufacturer, lift-off mass, orbital slot/orbit parameters, orbital planes / satellite per plane,	owner disclose all those details?. Please clarify.
constellation configuration & architecture, bus type, station keeping propellant, power, etc., as applicable	Parameters such as lift-off mass, manufacturer of satellite, bus type, station keeping propellent are subject to ITAR. We have concern that many applicants will not be able to share this. They will be able to share parameters relating to the payload operation that are as per the ITU filing and/or the data submitted to the notifying administration for approval
	If the applicant is not the satellite operator, they will have to ask the satellite operator for this info
Payload and Ground Segment Details (items 12 to 16 and 17 to 19)	Comment: most of this information refers to confidential commercial data which the satellite owner may not be able to disclose
ITU Filing Details 23- Details of coordination agreements signed with	Comment: most of this information is confidential and can contains commercially sensitive information.

operators/administrations for the orbital resources 24- Details of arrangements made with concerned foreign administration, which made the ITU filing, for using their orbital resources (Please enclose agreement, Authorization or relevant documents issued bt the respective administration)	Proposal: it should be enough to refer to the ITU Special Sections containing information on the coordination agreements obtained. The arrangements made with foreign administrations are normally confidential.
25- Whether willing to operate the satellite under Indian ITU filing eventually with appropriate arrangement within the ambit of ITU regulations	This should not apply to NGSO systems as in the case of NGSO, this is not feasible.
26- Interference analysis with a view to protect operational Indian satellites and Indian ITU filings in GSO & NGSO along with coordination status, if any	Comment: this item needs additional explanation of exactly what information is sought and to what level of detail. In the case of NGSOs it should be adherence to Article 22 EPFD limits in applicable bands and coordination with Indian ITU filings in bands that are not covered by Article 22. For Article 22, it could be a 'favorable finding' from the ITU and for coordination, it could be a letter submitted indicating coordination between NGSO operators or between NGSO and GSO operators. 'Potential Candidates' that is referred to in this point should be as per the ITU priority. Proposal (i): outline and define the analysis criteria.
34 Proposed value addition to India or Indian Space Sector, if any (in terms of Economy / employment / willingness to operate thesatellite eventually under Indian ITU filing / local manufacturing of user terminals, launches by Indian launch vehicle, manufacturing in India and operations under Indian ITU filing for the replacement satellites, etc.) Please elaborate.	Proposal (ii): outline and define the timelines for determining protection criteria has been met. Companies that do manufacturing/exports from India of substantial value but not necessarily a space related manufacturing/export should also be given preference for authorization